

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:) I hereby certify that this paper is
) being filed electronically with the
Finseth et al.) U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
) on this date:
Serial No.: 09/532,755)
)
For: "Method and Apparatus for) February 8, 2007
Transmission, Receipt and Display)
of Advertisements") <u>/Mark G. Hanley/</u>
) Mark G. Hanley
Filed: March 22, 2000) Registration No. 44,736
) Attorney for Applicants
Group Art Unit: 2623)
)
Examiner: Scott E. Beliveau)

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

On January 24, 2007, the undersigned attorney and Examiner Beliveau conducted a telephonic interview regarding the restriction requirement issued on December 26, 2006. During the interview the undersigned attorney submitted that it would not be an undue burden on the examiner to examine at least claims 11-19, 45-46, and 49-52 together because these claims have been classified by the examiner within the same class and subclass.

Respectfully,

HANLEY, FLIGHT & ZIMMERMAN, LLC
150 South Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 580-1020

/Mark G. Hanley/
Mark G. Hanley
Reg. No. 44,736
Attorney for the Applicants

February 8, 2007